Multipath Matching Pursuit

Submitted to IEEE trans. on Information theory

Authors: S. Kwon, J. Wang, and B. Shim

Presenter: Hwanchol Jang

- > Multipath is investigated rather than a single path for a greedy type of search
- > In the final moment, the most promising path is chosen.
- > They propose "breadth-first search" and "depth-first search" for greedy algorithm.
- > They provide analysis for the performance of MMP with RIP

I. Introduction

CS

The sparse signals x ∈ ℝⁿ can be reconstructed from the compressed measurements y = Φx ∈ ℝⁿ even when the system representation is underdetermined (m<n), as long as the signal to be recovered is sparse (i.e., number of nonzero elements in the vector is small).</p>

Reconstruction

1. L₀ mimimization

- > K-sparse signal x can be accurately reconstructed using m=2K measurements in a noiseless scenario [2].
- 2. L1 minimization
- Since ℓ_0 -minimization problem is NP-hard and hence not so practical, early works focused on the reconstruction of sparse signals using ℓ_1 -norm minimization technique (e.g., basis pursuit [2]).
- 3. Greedy search
- > the greedy search approach is designed to further reduce the computational complexity of

the basis pursuit.

In a nutshell, greedy algorithms identify the support (index set of nonzero elements) of the sparse vector x in an iterative fashion, generating a series of locally optimal updates.

OMP

- > In the orthogonal matching pursuit (OMP) algorithm, the index of column that maximizes the magnitude of correlation between columns of Φ and the modified measurements (often called residual) is chosen as a new support element in each iteration.
- If at least one incorrect index is chosen in the middle of the search, the output of OMP will be simply incorrect.

II. MMP algorithm

L0 minimization

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{0} \text{ subject to } \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} . (1)$

OMP

- > OMP is simple to implement and also computationally efficient
- > Due to the choice of the single candidate it is very sensitive to the selection of index.
- The output of OMP will be simply wrong if an incorrect index is chosen in the middle of the search.

Multiple indices

- StOMP algorithm identifying more than one indices in each iteration was proposed. In this approach, indices whose magnitude of correlation exceeds a deliberately designed threshold are chosen [9].
- \triangleright CoSaMP and SP algorithms maintaining K supports in each iteration were introduced.
- > In [12], generalized OMP (gOMP), was proposed. By choosing multiple indices corresponding to N (> 1) largest correlation in magnitude in each iteration, gOMP reduces the misdetection probability at the expense of increase in the false alarm probability.

MMP

- The MMP algorithm searches *multiple promising candidates* and then chooses one minimizing the residual in the final moment.
- Due to the investigation of multiple full-blown candidates instead of partial ones, MMP improves the chance of selecting the true support.
- The effect of the random noise vector cannot be accurately judged by just looking at the partial candidate, and more importantly, incorrect decision affects subsequent decision in many greedy algorithms.
- MMP is effective in noisy scenario.



Fig. 1. Comparison between the OMP and the MMP algorithm (L = 2 and K = 3).

III. Perfect Recovery Condition for MMP

- A recovery condition under which MMP can accurately recover K-sparse signals in the noiseless scenario.
- \succ two parts:
 - A condition ensuring the successful recovery in the initial iteration (k = 1).
 - A condition guaranteeing the success in the non-initial iteration $(k \ge 1)$.

■ By success we mean that an index of the true support T is chosen in the iteration.

RIP

A sensing matrix Φ is said to satisfy the RIP of order *K* if there exists a constant $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$(1-\delta) \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} \le \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} \le (1+\delta) \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2}$$
 (2)

for any K-sparse vector x.

> The minimum of all constants δ satisfying (2) is called the restricted isometry constant δ_{κ} .

Lemma 3.1 (Monotonicity of the restricted isometry constant [1]): If the sensing matrix Φ satisfies the RIP of both orders K_1 and K_2 , then $\delta_{K_1} \leq \delta_{K_2}$ for any $K_1 \leq K_2$.

Lemma 3.2 (Consequences of RIP [1]): For $I \subset \Omega$, if $\delta_{|I|} < 1$ then for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I|}$,

$$(1 - \delta_{|I|}) \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \leq \|\mathbf{\Phi}_{I} \mathbf{\Phi}_{I} \mathbf{x}\|_{2} \leq (1 + \delta_{|I|}) \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \quad (3)$$
$$\frac{1}{1 + \delta_{|I|}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \leq \|(\mathbf{\Phi}_{I} \mathbf{\Phi}_{I})^{-1} \mathbf{x}\|_{2} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_{|I|}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \quad (4)$$

Lemma 3.3 (Lemma 2.1 in [19]): Let $I_1, I_2 \subset \Omega$ be two disjoint sets $(I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset)$. If $\delta_{|I_1|+|I_2|} < 1$, then

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{I_1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{I_2} \mathbf{x} \right\|_2 \le \delta_{|I_1| + |I_2|} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_2$$
(5)

holds for any x.

Lemma 3.4: For $m \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}$, $\|\mathbf{\Phi}\|_2$ satisfies

$$\left\| \mathbf{\Phi} \right\|_{2} = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{\Phi}'\mathbf{\Phi})} \le \sqrt{1 + \delta_{\min(m,n)}} \quad (6)$$

A. Success Condition in Initial Iteration

In the first iteration, MMP computes the correlation between measurements y and each column ϕ_i of Φ and then selects L indices whose column has largest correlation in magnitude. Let Λ be the set of L indices chosen in the first iteration, then

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\mathbf{y}\right\|_{2} = \max_{|I|=L} \sqrt{\sum_{i\in I} \left|\langle\phi_{i},\mathbf{y}\rangle\right|^{2}}.$$
(7)

Following theorem provides a condition under which at least one correct index belonging to T is chosen in the first iteration.

Theorem 3.5: Suppose $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is K-sparse signal, then among L candidates at least one contains the correct index in the first iteration of the MMP algorithm if the sensing matrix Φ satisfies the RIP with

$$\delta_{K+L} < \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{K} + \sqrt{L}}.$$
(8)

Proof: From (7), we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \| \mathbf{\Phi}'_{\Lambda} \mathbf{y} \|_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \max_{|I|=L} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in I} |\langle \phi_{i}, \mathbf{y} \rangle|^{2}}$$
(9)

$$= \max_{|I|=L} \sqrt{\frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{i \in I} |\langle \phi_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle|^2}$$
(10)

$$\geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{i \in T} |\langle \phi_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle|^2} \tag{11}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_T' \mathbf{y} \right\|_2 \tag{12}$$

where |T| = K. Since $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{\Phi}_T \mathbf{x}_T$, we further have

$$\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\Lambda}'\mathbf{y}\|_{2} \geq \sqrt{\frac{L}{K}} \|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}'\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}\mathbf{x}_{T}\|_{2}$$
(13)

$$\geq \sqrt{\frac{L}{K} (1 - \delta_K) \|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \tag{14}$$

where (14) is due to Lemma 3.2.

On the other hand, when an incorrect index is chosen in the first iteration (i.e., $\Lambda \cap T = \emptyset$),

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\mathbf{y}\right\|_{2} = \left\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}\mathbf{x}_{T}\right\|_{2} \leq \delta_{K+L}\left\|\mathbf{x}\right\|_{2},\tag{15}$$

where the inequality follows from Lemma 3.3. This inequality contradicts (14) if

$$\delta_{K+L} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{2} < \sqrt{\frac{L}{K}} \left(1 - \delta_{K} \right) \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{2}.$$
(16)

In other words, under (16) at least one correct index should be chosen in the first iteration $(T_i^1 \in \Lambda)$. Further, since $\delta_K \leq \delta_{K+N}$ by Lemma 3.1, (16) holds true if

$$\delta_{K+L} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} < \sqrt{\frac{L}{K}} \left(1 - \delta_{K+L}\right) \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}.$$
(17)

Equivalently,

$$\delta_{K+L} < \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{K} + \sqrt{L}}.$$
(18)

In summary, if $\delta_{K+L} < \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{K}+\sqrt{L}}$, then among *L* indices at least one belongs to *T* in the first iteration of MMP.

B. Success Condition in Non-initial Iterations

Now we turn to the analysis of the success condition for non-initial iterations. In the k-th iteration (k > 1), we focus on the candidate s_i^{k-1} whose elements are exclusively from the true support T (see Fig. 3). In short, our key finding is that at least one of L indices chosen by s_i^{k-1} is from T under $\delta_{K+L} < \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{K+3\sqrt{L}}}$. Formal description of our finding is as follows.

Theorem 3.6: Suppose a candidate s_i^{k-1} includes indices only in T, then among L children generated from s_i^{k-1} at least one candidate chooses an index in T under

$$\delta_{K+L} < \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{K} + 3\sqrt{L}}.$$
(19)

Before we proceed, we provide definitions and lemmas useful in our analysis. Let f_i be the *i*-th largest correlated index in magnitude between \mathbf{r}^{k-1} and $\{\phi_j\}_{j\in T^C}$. That is, $f_j = \arg \max_{j\in T^C\setminus\{f_1,\ldots,f_{(j-1)}\}} |\langle \phi_j, \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \rangle|$. Let F_L be the set of these indices $(F_L = \{f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_L\})$. Also, let α_j^k be the *j*-th largest correlation in magnitude between the residual \mathbf{r}^{k-1} associated with s_i^{k-1} and columns indexed by incorrect indices. That is,

$$\alpha_j^k = \left| \left\langle \phi_{f_j}, \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \right\rangle \right|. \tag{20}$$

Note that α_j^k are ordered in magnitude ($\alpha_1^k \ge \alpha_2^k \ge \cdots$). Finally, let β_j^k be the *j*-th largest correlation in magnitude between \mathbf{r}^{k-1} and columns whose indices belong to $T - T_i^{k-1}$ (the set of remaining true indices). That is,

$$\beta_j^k = \left| \left\langle \phi_{\varphi(j)}, \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \right\rangle \right| \tag{21}$$



Fig. 3. Relationship between the candidates in (k-1)-th iteration and those in k-th iteration. Candidates inside the gray box contain elements of true support T only.

where $\varphi(j) = \arg \max_{j \in (T-T^{k-1}) \setminus \{\varphi(1), \dots, \varphi(j-1)\}} |\langle \phi_j, \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \rangle|$. Similar to α_j^k, β_j^k are ordered in magnitude $(\beta_1^k \ge \beta_2^k \ge \cdots)$. In the following lemmas, we provide the upper bound of α_L^k and lower bound of β_1^k .

Lemma 3.7: α_L^k satisfies

$$\alpha_L^k \le \left(\delta_{L+K-k+1} + \frac{\delta_{L+k-1}\delta_K}{1 - \delta_{k-1}}\right) \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{T-T_j^{k-1}}\right\|_2}{\sqrt{L}}.$$
(22)

Proof: See Appendix A.

Lemma 3.8: β_1^k satisfies

$$\beta_{1}^{k} \ge \left(1 - \delta_{K-k+1} - \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{K-k+1}}\sqrt{1 + \delta_{k-1}}\delta_{K}}{1 - \delta_{k-1}}\right) \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}\right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{K - k + 1}}.$$
(23)

Proof: See Appendix B.

Proof of Theorem 3.6: From the definitions of α_j^k and β_j^k , it is clear that a (sufficient) condition under which at least one out of L indices is true in k-th iteration of MMP is

$$\alpha_L^k < \beta_1^k \tag{24}$$



Fig. 4. Comparison between α_N^k and β_1^k . If $\beta_1^k > \alpha_N^k$, then among L indices chosen in K-iteration, at least one is from the true support T.

First, from Lemma 3.1 and 3.7, we have

$$\alpha_L^k \leq \left(\delta_{L+K-k+1} + \frac{\delta_{L+k-1}\delta_K}{1-\delta_{k-1}}\right) \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{T-s_j^{k-1}}\right\|_2}{\sqrt{L}}$$
(25)

$$\leq \left(\delta_{L+K} + \frac{\delta_{L+K}\delta_{L+K}}{1 - \delta_{L+K}}\right) \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{T-s_{j}^{k-1}}\right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{L}}$$
(26)

$$= \frac{\delta_{L+K}}{1 - \delta_{L+K}} \frac{\left\| \mathbf{x}_{T-s_j^{k-1}} \right\|_2}{\sqrt{L}}.$$
(27)

Also, from Lemma 3.1 and 3.8, we have

$$\beta_{1}^{k} \geq \left(1 - \delta_{K-k+1} - \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{K-k+1}}\sqrt{1 + \delta_{k-1}}\delta_{K}}{1 - \delta_{k-1}}\right) \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{T-s_{j}^{k-1}}\right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{K - k + 1}}$$
(28)

$$\geq \left(1 - \delta_{L+K} - \frac{(1 + \delta_{L+K}) \,\delta_{L+K}}{(1 - \delta_{L+K})}\right) \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{T-s_{j}^{k-1}}\right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{K - k + 1}}$$
(29)

$$= \frac{1 - 3\delta_{L+K}}{1 - \delta_{L+K}} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{T-s_{j}^{k-1}}\right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{K - k + 1}}.$$
(30)

Using (24), (27), and (30), we can obtain the sufficient condition of (24) as

$$\frac{1 - 3\delta_{L+K}}{1 - \delta_{L+K}} \frac{\left\| \mathbf{x}_{T-s_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{K - k + 1}} > \frac{\delta_{L+K}}{1 - \delta_{L+K}} \frac{\left\| \mathbf{x}_{T-s_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{L}}.$$
(31)

From (31), we further have

$$\delta_{L+K} < \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{K-k+1} + 3\sqrt{L}}.$$
(32)

Since $\sqrt{K-k+1} < \sqrt{K}$ for k > 1, (32) holds under $\delta_{L+K} < \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{K+3\sqrt{L}}}$, which completes the proof.

August 26, 2013

APPENDIX A

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.7

Proof: The ℓ_2 -norm of the correlation $\Phi'_{F_L}\mathbf{r}^{k-1}$ is expressed as

$$\left\| \Phi_{F_{L}}^{\prime} \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \right\|_{2} = \left\| \Phi_{F_{L}}^{\prime} \mathbf{P}_{s_{j}^{k-1}}^{\perp} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(102)

$$= \left\| \Phi_{F_L}' \Phi_{T-T_j^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-s_j^{k-1}} - \Phi_{F_L}' \mathbf{P}_{T_j^{k-1}} \Phi_{T-T_j^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_j^{k-1}} \right\|_2$$
(103)

$$\leq \left\| \mathbf{\Phi}_{F_{L}}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Phi}_{T-s_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2} + \left\| \mathbf{\Phi}_{F_{L}}^{\prime} \mathbf{P}_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}.$$
 (104)

Since F_L and $T - s_j^{k-1}$ are disjoint $(F_L \cap (T - s_j^{k-1}) = \emptyset)$ and also noting that the number of correct indices in s_j^k is k by the hypothesis,

$$|F_L| + |T - s_j^{k-1}| = L + K - (k-1).$$
(105)

Using this together with Lemma 3.3,

$$\left\| \Phi_{F_{L}}^{\prime} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-s_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2} \leq \delta_{L+K-k+1} \left\| \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}.$$
 (106)

Similarly, noting that $F_L \cap T_j^{k-1} = \emptyset$ and $|F_L| + |s_j^{k-1}| = L + k - 1$, we have

$$\left\| \Phi_{F_{L}}^{\prime} \mathbf{P}_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2} \leq \delta_{L+k-1} \left\| \Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\dagger} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(107)

where

$$\left\| \Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\dagger} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2} = \left\| \left(\Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}}' \Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \right)^{-1} \Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}}' \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(108)

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_{k-1}} \left\| \mathbf{\Phi}'_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{\Phi}_{T - T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T - T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(109)

$$\leq \frac{\delta_{(k-1)+K-(k-1)}}{1-\delta_{k-1}} \left\| \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(110)

$$= \frac{\delta_K}{1 - \delta_{k-1}} \left\| \mathbf{x}_{T - T_j^{k-1}} \right\|_2 \tag{111}$$

where (109) and (110) follow from Lemma 3.2 and 3.3, respectively. Since T_j^{k-1} and $T - T_j^{k-1}$ are disjoint, if the number of correct indices in T_j^{k-1} is k - 1, then

$$\left|T_{j}^{k-1} \cup \left(T - T_{j}^{k-1}\right)\right| = (k-1) + K - (k-1).$$
(112)

August 26, 2013

DRAFT

Using (104), (106), (107), and (111), we have

$$\left\| \mathbf{\Phi}_{F_{L}}^{\prime} \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \right\|_{2} \leq \left(\delta_{L+K-k+1} + \frac{\delta_{L+k-1} \delta_{K}}{1 - \delta_{k-1}} \right) \left\| \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}.$$
 (113)

Using the norm inequality $(\|\mathbf{z}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\|\mathbf{z}\|_0} \|\mathbf{z}\|_2)$, we further have

$$\left\| \mathbf{\Phi}_{F_L}' \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \right\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{i=1}^L \alpha_i^k \tag{114}$$

$$\geq \left\| \mathbf{\Phi}_{F_L}' \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \right\|_2 \tag{115}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}L\alpha_L^k = \sqrt{L}\alpha_L^k \tag{116}$$

where α_j^k is $|\langle \phi_{f_j}, \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \rangle|^5$ and $\alpha_1^k \ge \alpha_2^k \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_L^k$. Combining (113) and (116), we have

$$\left(\delta_{L+K-k+1} + \frac{\delta_{L+k-1}\delta_K}{1-\delta_{k-1}}\right) \left\| \mathbf{x}_{T-T_j^{k-1}} \right\|_2 \ge \sqrt{L}\alpha_L^k,\tag{117}$$

and hence

$$\alpha_L^k \le \left(\delta_{L+K-k+1} + \frac{\delta_{L+k-1}\delta_K}{1-\delta_{k-1}}\right) \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{T-T_j^{k-1}}\right\|_2}{\sqrt{L}}.$$
(118)

APPENDIX B

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.8

Proof: Since β_1^k is the largest correlation in magnitude between \mathbf{r}^{k-1} and $\{\phi_j\}_{j\in T-T_j^{k-1}}$ $(|\langle \phi_{\varphi(j)}, \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \rangle|)^6$, it is clear that

$$\beta_1^k \ge \left| \left\langle \phi_j, \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \right\rangle \right| \tag{119}$$

for all $j \in T - T_j^{k-1}$, and hence

$$\beta_1^k \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{K - (k - 1)}} \left\| \mathbf{\Phi}'_{T - T_j^{k - 1}} \mathbf{r}^{k - 1} \right\|$$
(120)

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{K-k+1}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\perp} \mathbf{P}_{T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\perp} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{x} \right\|$$
(121)

$${}^{5}f_{j} = \arg \max_{\substack{j \in T^{C} \setminus \{f_{1}, \dots, f_{(j-1)}\}}} \left| \left\langle \phi_{j}, \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \right\rangle \right|$$

$${}^{6}\varphi(j) = \arg \max_{\substack{j \in \left(T - T^{k-1}\right) \setminus \{\varphi(1), \dots, \varphi(j-1)\}}} \left| \left\langle \phi_{j}, \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \right\rangle \right|$$

where (121) follows from $\mathbf{r}^{k-1} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{\Phi}_{T_j^{k-1}} \mathbf{\Phi}_{T_j^{k-1}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{P}_{T_j^{k-1}}^{\perp} \mathbf{y}$. Using the triangle inequality,

$$\beta_{1}^{k} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{K-k+1}} \left\| \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\perp} \mathbf{P}_{T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\perp} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(122)

$$\geq \frac{\left\| \mathbf{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2} - \left\| \mathbf{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\prime} \mathbf{P}_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{K-k+1}}.$$
 (123)

Since $\left|T - T_{j}^{k-1}\right| = K - (k-1)$, $\left\|\mathbf{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\prime}\mathbf{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}\right\|$

$$\Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\prime}\Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}\mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}\Big\|_{2} \ge (1-\delta_{K-k+1})\left\|\mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}\right\|$$
(124)

and also

$$\left\| \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\prime} \mathbf{P}_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2} \leq \left\| \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\prime} \|_{2} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(125)
$$\leq \sqrt{1 + \delta_{K-k+1}} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{T} \|_{2} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(126)

$$\leq \sqrt{1 + \delta_{K-k+1}} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(126)

where (126) follows from Lemma 3.4. Further, we have

$$\left\| \mathbf{P}_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(127)

$$= \left\| \Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \left(\Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}}' \Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \right)^{-1} \Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}}' \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(128)

$$\leq \sqrt{1+\delta_{k-1}} \left\| \left(\Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\prime} \Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \right)^{-1} \Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\prime} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(129)

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{1+\delta_{k-1}}}{1-\delta_{k-1}} \left\| \Phi_{T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\prime} \Phi_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(130)

$$\leq \frac{\delta_{(k-1)+K-(k-1)}\sqrt{1+\delta_{k-1}}}{1-\delta_{k-1}} \left\| \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}$$
(131)

where (129) and (130) are from the definition of RIP and Lemma 3.2. (131) follows from Lemma 3.3 and $|T_j^{k-1} \cup (T - T_j^{k-1})| = (k - 1) + K - (k - 1)$ since T_j^{k-1} and $T - T_j^{k-1}$ are disjoint sets. Using (126) and (131), we obtain

$$\left\| \mathbf{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}^{\prime} \mathbf{P}_{T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{\Phi}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{1+\delta_{K-k+1}}\sqrt{1+\delta_{k-1}}\delta_{K}}{1-\delta_{k-1}} \left\| \mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}} \right\|_{2}.$$
 (132)

Finally, by combining (123), (124) and (132), we have

$$\beta_{1}^{k} \ge \left(1 - \delta_{K-k+1} - \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{K-k+1}}\sqrt{1 + \delta_{k-1}}\delta_{K}}{1 - \delta_{k-1}}\right) \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{T-T_{j}^{k-1}}\right\|_{2}}{\sqrt{K - k + 1}}.$$
(133)

DRAFT

REFERENCES

- E. J. Candes and T. Tao, "Decoding by linear programming," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 4203–4215, Dec. 2005.
- [2] E. J. Candes, J. Romberg, and T. Tao, "Robust uncertainty principles: exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 489–509, Feb. 2006.
- [3] E. Liu and V. N. Temlyakov, "The orthogonal super greedy algorithm and applications in compressed sensing," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 2040–2047, April 2012.
- [4] J. A. Tropp and A. C. Gilbert, "Signal recovery from random measurements via orthogonal matching pursuit," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 4655–4666, Dec. 2007
- [5] M. A. Davenport and M. B. Wakin, "Analysis of orthogonal matching pursuit using the restricted isometry property," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 4395–4401, Sept. 2010.
- [6] T. T. Cai and L. Wang, "Orthogonal matching pursuit for sparse signal recovery with noise," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 4680–4688, July 2011.
- [7] T. Zhang, "Sparse recovery with orthogonal matching pursuit under rip," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 6215–6221, Sept. 2011.
- [8] R. G. Baraniuk, M. A. Davenport, R. DeVore, and M. B. Wakin, "A simple proof of the restricted isometry property for random matrices," *Constructive Approximation*, vol. 28, pp. 253–263, Dec. 2008.
- [9] D. L. Donoho, Y. Tsaig, I. Drori, and J. L. Starck, "Sparse solution of underdetermined systems of linear equations by stagewise orthogonal matching pursuit," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 1094–1121, Feb. 2012.
- [10] D. Needell and J. A. Tropp, "Cosamp: iterative signal recovery from incomplete and inaccurate samples," *Commun. ACM*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 93–100, Dec. 2010.
- [11] W. Dai and O. Milenkovic, "Subspace pursuit for compressive sensing signal reconstruction," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 2230–2249, May 2009.
- [12] J. Wang, S. Kwon, and B. Shim, "Generalized orthogonal matching pursuit," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 60, no. 12, pp. 6202–6216, Dec. 2012.
- [13] A. J. Viterbi, "Error bounds for convolutional codes and an asymptotically optimum decoding algorithm," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 260–269, April 1967.
- [14] E. Viterbo and J. Boutros, "A universal lattice code decoder for fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 1639–1642, July 1999.
- [15] B. Shim and I. Kang, "Sphere decoding with a probabilistic tree pruning," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 56, no. 10, pp. 4867–4878, Oct. 2008.
- B. M. Hochwald and S. Ten Brink, "Achieving near-capacity on a multiple-antenna channel," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 389–399, March 2003.
- [17] W. Chen, M. R. D. Rodrigues, and I. J. Wassell, "Projection design for statistical compressive sensing: A tight frame based approach," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 2016–2029, 2013.
- [18] S. Verdu, Multiuser Detection, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [19] E. J. Candes, "The restricted isometry property and its implications for compressed sensing," Comptes Rendus Mathematique, vol. 346, no. 9-10, pp. 589–592, May 2008.
- [20] D. Needell and R. Vershynin, "Uniform uncertainty principle and signal recovery via regularized orthogonal matching pursuit," *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, vol. 9, pp. 317–334, June 2009.