Braess like Paradox on Dorogovtsev-Mendes network Norihito Toyota (Hokkaido Information University, Japan) • 92

A Distributed Algorithm for 2-Edge-Connectivity Augmentation Problem of a Connected Graph Satoshi Taoka, Toshimasa Watanabe (Hiroshima University, Japan) • 96

S4 Compressed Sensing Special Session

Asymptotic Analysis of Marginal Posterior PDF via Belief Propagation in Noisy Sparse Estimation Jaewook Kang, Heung-No Lee, Kiseon Kim (GIST, Korea) • 100

Super Resolution with Compressive Digital Holography Yair Rivenson, Adrian Stern, Joseph Rosen (Ben-Gurion University, Israel) • 102

Sutudy on Blocking Artifacts in Block-based Compressed Sensing Phuong Minh Pham, Hiuk Jae Shim, Byeungwoo Jeon (Sungkyunkwan University, Korea) • 104

Multiple Candidates Matching Pursuit for Sparse Signal Recovery Suhyuk Kwon, Jian Wang, Byonghyo Shim (Korea University, Korea) • 108

A study for establishing a sufficient condition for successful joint support set reconstruction Sangjun Park, Jeong-Min Ryu, Heung-No Lee (GIST, Korea) • 112

S5 Computational Forensics

Inpainted Region Detection in Forgery Video Using Correlation of Camera Sensor Noise Woon Cho<sup>(1)</sup>, Kyungwon Jeong<sup>(2)</sup>, Inhye Yoon<sup>(2)</sup>, Seungwon Lee<sup>(2)</sup>, Joonki Paik<sup>(2)</sup> (University of Tennessee, USA<sup>(1)</sup>, Chung-Ang University, Korea<sup>(2)</sup>) • 115

Enhanced Detection Method of Composite Image Region through Interpolation Min Gu Hwang, Hyung Ju Park, Dong Hwan Har (Chung-Ang University, Korea) • 117

Detection of Duplicated Region in Image Using Zerotree of Discrete Wavelet Packet Coefficients Woon Cho<sup>(1)</sup>, Nahyun Kim<sup>(2)</sup>, Inhye Yoon<sup>(2)</sup>, Sungwon Lee<sup>(2)</sup>, Joonki Paik<sup>(2)</sup> (University of Tennessee, USA<sup>(1)</sup>, Chung-Ang University, Korea<sup>(2)</sup>) • 119

The Forensic Study on Questioned Documents involving Seal Impression Joong Lee (National Forensic Service, Korea) • 121

Improvement Method of Recognition of License Plate Numbers in Low Resolution Images Using Reference Images Dongmin Kim<sup>(1)</sup>, Inhye Yoon<sup>(2)</sup>, Sungbin Youn<sup>(1)</sup>, Joonki Paik<sup>(2)</sup> (Forensic Science Division, Korea<sup>(1)</sup>, Chung-Ang University, Korea<sup>(2)</sup>) • 125

Lifting of fingerprint using IR fluorescent technique Yoojin Kim<sup>(1)</sup>, Sangki Kim<sup>(1)</sup>, Donghwan Har<sup>(2)</sup> (Kyungil University, Korea<sup>(1)</sup>, Chung-Ang University, Korea<sup>(2)</sup>) • 127

# Asymptotic Analysis of Marginal Posterior PDF via Belief Propagation in Noisy Sparse Estimation

Jaewook Kang, Heung-No Lee and Kiseon Kim

*Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Republic of Korea Email:{jwkkang,heungno,kskim}@gist.ac.kr* 

## 1. Introduction

Recently, belief propagation (BP)-based sparse recovery algorithms have received significant attention due to the availavility of low complexity [1]. The aim of such algorithms is to estimate a sparse vector  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  from noisy measurements  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^M$  which is a linear projection of an underdetermined system (M < N), represented as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{W} \tag{1}$$

where the measurements are corrupted by an additive Gaussian noise vector  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{M}$ .

In particular, we are interested in the BP-based signal recovery in conjuction with sparse measurement matrices  $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \{0, -1, 1\}^{M \times N}$  which is tree-like structured in factor graph representation. Such BP algorithms iteratively approximate the marginal posterior corresponding to each element  $X_i$  by exchanging probability messages over the factor graph associated with  $\mathbf{\Phi}$ . The iterative behavior of BP have been well investigated in applications to channel coding [3], but still remains elusive in the sparse estimation problem.

In this paper, we asymptotically analyze such BP behavior in the sparse estimation problem using the *decoupling principle* [3-5]. According to this principle, the vector measurement channel using BP, shown in Fig.1-(a), can be aymptotically decoupled to a sequence of scalar Gaussian channels, shown in Fig.1-(b), if the system is a *large-sparse-system* (LSS) satisfying two conditions below:

1. Large-system-limit: The system size is very large, *i.e.*,  $(N, M \rightarrow \infty)$  where M/N remains a fixed constant.

2. *No-short-cycle*: The bipartite graph of the sparse measurement matrix  $\Phi$  does not include cycles shorter than the number of the BP-iterations denoted by l.

In this work, we consider spike-and-slab PDF as the prior for the sparse signal estimation. Our result shows that the marginal posterior PDF takes the form of a spike-and-slab PDF, being a function of the signal magnitude and noise level.

#### 2 Main Result

We aim to derive an expression of the marginal posterior PDF  $f_{X_i}(x | Z_i^{(l)}, \Phi)$  from the scalar Gaussian

channel using the concept of the relaxed BP [3]. Let  $V_{X_i \to Y_j}^{(l)}$  and  $U_{Y_j \to X_i}^{(l)}$  be RVs representing the BPmessages passed from  $X_i$  to  $Y_j$  and from  $Y_j$  to  $X_i$ , respectively, for all pairs of  $(i, j): \phi_{ji} \neq 0$  at the *l*-th iteration. Our derivation starts from the update rule of  $U_{Y_i \to X_i}^{(l)}$ , expressed as

$$U_{Y_{j} \to X_{i}}^{(l)} := \phi_{ji} \left( Y_{j} - \sum_{k \neq i} \phi_{jk} E\{X_{k} \mid V_{X_{k} \to Y_{j}}^{(l)}, \Phi\} \right)$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} \phi_{ji}^{2} X_{i} + \operatorname{CEI}_{ji}^{(l)} + \phi_{ji} W_{j}, \qquad (2)$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{=} X_{j} + \operatorname{CEI}_{ji}^{(l)} + W_{j}$$

 $=X_i + \operatorname{CEI}_{ji}^{(i)} + W_j,$ where (a) holds since we know  $Y_j = \sum_k \phi_{jk} X_k$  and define the cross-element-interference (CEI) term passed through  $Y_j$  to  $X_i$  as

$$\operatorname{CEI}_{ji}^{(l)} := \phi_{ji} \sum_{k \neq i} \phi_{jk} \left( X_k - E\{X_k \mid V_{X_k \to Y_j}^{(l)}, \mathbf{\Phi}\} \right), \quad (3)$$

and (b) also holds since we know  $\phi_{ji}^2 = 1$  and scaling the zero-mean Gaussian RV  $W_j$  by  $\phi_{ji} \in \{1, -1\}$  does not change its statistics. By the central limit theorem (CLT), as a sum of *i.i.d.* RVs,  $\text{CEI}_{ji}^{(l)}$  is asymptotically Gaussian distributed with zero-mean and the variance

$$(\sigma_{\operatorname{CEI}_{ji}}^{2})^{(l)} = (\sigma_{\operatorname{CEI}_{j}}^{2})^{(l)}$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\approx} (R-1) \operatorname{Var} \left\{ X_{k} \mid V_{X_{k} \to Y_{i}}^{(l)}, \mathbf{\Phi} \right\},$$

$$(4)$$

under the LSS setup, where  $\hat{R}$  is the number of nonzero in a row of the matrix  $\Phi$ , and the approximation of (a) is vaild by the weak law of large numbers. Therefore, from (2)-(4), it turns out that the message  $U_{Y_j \to X_i}^{(l)}$  is Gaussian distributed with the mean  $X_i$  and the variance  $\eta_j^{(l)} := \sigma_W^2 + (\sigma_{CEI_j}^2)^{(l)}$ , *i.e.*,

$$f_{U_{Y_j \to X_i}}^{(l)}(x \mid X_i, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{\Phi}) \xrightarrow{\text{CLT}} \mathcal{N}(x; X_i, \eta_j^{(l)}).$$
(5)

The marginal posterior of  $X_i$  is obtained after a certain number of the BP-iterations  $l^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_i^{(l)}$  denote a set of the messages from the measurement side with respect to  $X_i$ , *i.e.*,  $\mathcal{U}_i^{(l)} := \{U_{Y_j \to X_i}^{(l)} | \phi_{ji} \neq 0\}$ . Then, using the Bayesian rule (Posterior  $\propto$  Prior × Likelihood), the

This work was supported by Haek-Sim Research Program (NO. 2012-047744) and Do-Yak Research Program (NO.2013-035295) through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technology (MEST)



**Fig. 1** (a) Vector measurement channel with BP, (b) The asymptotically equivalent scalar Gaussian channel

marginal posterior is given as

$$f_{X_{i}}^{(l^{*})}(x \mid \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{\Phi}) \propto f_{X}(x) \times f_{\mathcal{U}_{i}}^{(l^{*})}(x \mid X_{i}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{\Phi})$$
(6)  
$$= f_{X}(x) \times \prod_{k:\phi_{ji}\neq 0} f_{U_{Y_{j}}\to X_{i}}^{(l^{*})}(x \mid X_{i}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{\Phi}),$$

where the likelihood PDF  $f_{\mathcal{U}_i}^{(l)}(x | X_i, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{\Phi})$  can be represented by the product of the message PDFs in  $\mathcal{U}_i^{(l)}$  since the *no-short-cycle* property ensures the statistical independency among  $\mathcal{U}_i^{(l)}$ . By applying the fact that the product of Gaussian PDFs results in a scaled Gaussian PDF, the likehood PDF is given as

$$f_{\mathcal{U}_{i}}^{(l)}(x \mid X_{i}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{\Phi}) = \mathcal{N}(x; X_{i}, (\sum_{j} \frac{1}{\eta_{j}^{(l)}})^{-1}).$$
(7)

It is important to note from (6) that the PDF of the scalar channel output  $Z_i^{(l)}$  corresponds to the likelihood PDF  $f_{\mathcal{U}_i}^{(l)}(x | X_i, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{\Phi})$  in the *decoupling* principle [3-5], *i.e.*,

$$f_{\mathcal{U}_i}^{(l)}(x \mid X_i, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{\Phi}) \xrightarrow{\text{LSS}} f_{Z_i}^{(l)}(x \mid X_i, \mathbf{\Phi}), \qquad (8)$$

in probability. Hence, the scalar output  $Z_i^{(l)}$  is Gaussian distributed with the mean  $X_i$  and the variance  $\eta^{(l)}$  following

$$\left(\sum_{j} \frac{1}{\eta_{j}^{(l)}}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\text{LSS}}{\to} \eta^{(l)} \,. \tag{9}$$

In addition, we can describe the iterative behavior of  $\eta^{(l)}$  by an fixed point equation resulting from (4) and (9), given as

$$\eta^{(l)} = \frac{\sigma_{W}^{2}}{L} + \frac{R}{L} \mathbf{Var} \Big\{ X_{k} \mid Z_{i}^{(l-1)}, \eta^{(l-1)}, \mathbf{\Phi} \Big\}, \quad (10)$$

where the number of nonzeros L in a column of the matrix  $\mathbf{\Phi}$  should be properly chosen to satisfy the weak law of large numbers while holding the *no-short-cycle* property. The variance  $\eta^{(l)}$  consists of two factors: the additive noise and CEI. The CEI factor is

represented as the variance of  $X_k$  at the previous iteration, obtained from

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Var} \left\{ X_{k} \mid Z_{i}^{(l-1)}, \eta^{(l-1)}, \Phi \right\} \\ &= \int \left( x - \mathbf{E} \left\{ X_{k} \mid Z_{i}^{(l-1)}, \eta^{(l-1)}, \Phi \right\} \right)^{2} f_{X_{i}}(x \mid Z_{i}^{(l)}, \Phi) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and it will be continuously approches to zero as  $l \rightarrow \infty$  if the SNR level is sufficiently high [5]. Such a fixed point equation in (10) was originally discussed by Guo and Verdu [4], and has widely investigated to analyze performance of the BP-based algorithms.

In the sparse estimation, the marginal posterior is computed by imposing a sparsifying prior PDF. In this work, we consider the spike-and-slab PDF as the prior, given as

$$f_X(x) := q \mathcal{N}(x; 0, \sigma_X^2) + (1 - q) \delta_0.$$
(12)

In addition, it is obvious from (8) that

$$f_{X_i}^{(l)}(x | \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{\Phi}) \xrightarrow{\text{Loss}} f_{X_i}(x | Z_i^{(l)}, \mathbf{\Phi})$$
(13)

for every *i* and *x*, in probability under the LSS setup. Then, the marginal posterior PDF is expressed as  $f_{x}^{(i)}(x | Z_{i}^{(i)}, \mathbf{\Phi})$ (14)

$$\propto qc_2 \mathcal{N}(x; \frac{X_i \sigma_X^2}{\sigma_x^2 + \eta^{(l)}}, \frac{\sigma_X^2 \eta^{(l)}}{\sigma_x^2 + \eta^{(l)}}) + (1-q)c_1 \delta_0,$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are some constants such that the marginal posterior is valid as a PDF, *i.e.*,  $\int f_{X_i}^{(l)}(x | Z_i^{(l)}, \Phi) dx = 1$ .

### **3** Conclusion

From (14), it turns out that the marginal posterior PDF is a spike-and-slab PDF whose parameters are functions of the signal value  $X_i$  and  $\eta^{(l)}$  which includes the noise variance. For the calculation of (11), we refer to the paper by Krzakala *et al.* [6]. This analysis result will be very useful to evaluate the BP performance in the sparse estimation problems.

#### References

[1] D. Baron, *et al.*, "Bayesian compressive sensing via belief propagation," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 269-280, Jan. 2010.

[2] T. Richardson *et al.*, "The capacity of low-density parity check codes under message-passing decoding," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 599-618, Feb. 2001.

[3] D. Guo *et al.*, "Asymptotic mean-square optimality of belief propagation for sparse linear systems," *Proc. in IEEE Inform. Theory Workshop (ITW)*, pp. 194-198, Chengdu, China, Oct. 2006.

[4] D. Guo *et al.*, "Randomly spread CDMA: Asymptotics via statistical physics," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 1983-2010, Jun. 2005.

[5] G. Guo *et al.*,, "Multiuser detection of sparsely spread CDMA," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Comm.*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 421-431, Mar. 2008.

[6] F. Krzakala *et al.* "Probabilistic reconstruction in compressed sensing: algorithm, phase diagrams, and threshold achieving matrices,", *J. Stat. Mech.*, P08009, 2012.